

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,578 | 12/06/2001 | Manoj K. Jain | TI-31858 | 4968 | |
| 23494 | 7590 08/31/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TEXAS IN | STRUMENTS INCOF | LE, THAO X | | | |
| P O BOX 65 DALLAS, 7 | 5474, M/S 3999 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DALLAS, | 13203 | | 2814 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Ar |
|--|
| s) |
| OJ K. |
| |
| nce address |
| |
| ored timely. of this communication, 133). y |
| |
| s to the merits is |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 35(a). |
| e 37 CFR 1.121(d). orm PTO-152. |
| |
| |
| ational Stage |
| |

| Application No. Application No. Application No. 10/006,578 JAIN, MANOJ K. | | | | -11/- | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Examinor That X Le Period for Reply AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALIUNG DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Education of the period for reply specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered themely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, a reply white the statistury minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered threely. If the period for reply a specified above is least han birty (30) days, are replected to this communication. Application or service that a proper day of the statistury minimum of the period will apply and will adopt the specified above the minimum of the period three the specified above the service of the priod three threely and the specified above the service of the period threely minimum of the period threely m | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
| Than X Le The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt (50) days, a reply within the statistery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (50) days, a reply within the statistery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (50) days, a reply within the statistery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (50) days, a reply within the statistery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (50) days, a reply within the statistery minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for reply specified above is less than the months of the statistic problems of the sta | Office Action Commence | 10/006,578 | JAIN, MANOJ K. | | | | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be varieble under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.158(a). In no evert, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum stantory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum stantory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If the period for reply a specified above, the maximum stantory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office late than three marinest after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.4.9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ellowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.4.9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 5% (0) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the active (5) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply the statistic cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are rejected. - Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are rejected to. - Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are rejected to. - Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are rejected to. - Claim(s) 1.49 and 11-16 is/are explicated to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). - Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for endy is specified above, the maintum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for endy is specified above, the maintum statutory period will apply and will expire SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Status | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>18 August 2004</u> . | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) |)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | ,— | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | 4) Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Patent and Tindemark Office. | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date | D-948) Paper No. TO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of | (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO | -152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-3, 10 are canceled in the amendment dated 18 Aug. 2004

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-9, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6291340 to Sandhu et al. in view of US 6008124 to Sekiguchi et al.

Regarding to claims 1, 4, Sandhu discloses a method of forming a conductive structure in an integrated circuit in Fig. 1, comprising the steps of: forming a dielectric layer 32, column 6 line 57, over a semiconductor body, forming a hole 31, column 6 line 65, fig. 3, in dielectric layer 32, forming a conductive liner 35, column 7 line 1, in hole 31, annealing conductive liner, column 7 lines 16-22, forming a conductive barrier 41, column 7 line 13, fig. 4, filling hole 131 with a conductive material 62, column 7 line 30.

But, Sandhu does not expressly disclose after annealing conductive liner, treating conductive liner with plasma hydrogen to reduce a native oxide that form on conductive liner.

However, Sekiguchi reference discloses in fig. 1a-d a method wherein the conductive titanium silicide 6, fig. 1(a), column 8 line 11, is being treated with plasma

comprises hydrogen to reduce a native oxide that form on conductive film, column 16 line 47-49. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of treating conductive film with hydrogen plasma of Sekiguchi with Sandhu's method, because such hydrogen treatment would have lower the contact resistance and greater junction leakage prevention of the barrier compound as taught by Sekiguchi, column 16 line 56-59.

Regarding to claims 5-7, 12-15, Sandhu does not disclose the hydrogen containing atmosphere comprises pure hydrogen or hydrogen mixed with a carrier gas.

But, Sekiguchi reference discloses different combinations of plasma gas, including N₂, H₂, NH₃, column 16 lines 46-48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to use teaching Sekiguchi as claimed, because it would have produced the same results as discussed in the above claim 1. In addition, it has been held that where the general conditions of the claims are discloses in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 8, 16, Sandhu does not discloses the method further comprising the step of repeating treating step prior to filling step.

But Sekiguchi reference discloses the method further comprising the step of treating step as discuss in the above claim 1. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of treating conductive film with hydrogen of Sekiguchi with Sandhu's method in repeating treating, because such hydrogen treatment would have lower the contact resistance and

Application/Control Number: 10/006,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

greater junction leakage prevention of the barrier compound as taught by Sekiguchi, column 16 line 56-59, and to clean the native oxide as necessary.

Regarding to claims 9, 11, Sandhu discloses a method for forming a contact in an integrated circuit, comprising the steps of: forming a dielectric layer 32, column 6 line 57, over a semiconductor body, etching a contact hole 31, column 6 line 65, fig. 3, extending through dielectric layer 32, deposited titanium 35, column 7 line 1, in hole 31, over dielectric layer, including on exposed surface with contact hole, annealing titanium, column 7 lines 16-22, deposit TiN 41, column 7 line 13, fig. 4, over titanium, and then filling contact hole 131 with a tungsten 62, column 7 line 30.

But Sandhu does not expressly disclose the method comprising treating titanium with hydrogen prior to annealing step to reduce a native oxide that form on titanium.

However, Sekiguchi reference discloses the method comprising treating titanium 6 with hydrogen, fig. 1(a), column 16 lines 47-49. At the time the invention was made; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of treating conductive film with hydrogen plasma of Sekiguchi with Sandhu's method, because such hydrogen treatment would have lower the contact resistance and greater junction leakage prevention of the barrier compound as taught by Sekiguchi, column 16 line 56-59.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2814

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao X Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272 -1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao X. Le 30 Aug. 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER